

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/053332

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 C08B37/00 D06M15/03

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 7 C08B

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 475 803 B1 (WANG JUAN ET AL) 5 November 2002 (2002-11-05)	1-12, 16
Y	*synthesis of derivatives 1-3 on columns 5 and 6* column 6, line 62 - column 7, line 67	13-15
X	US 5 728 823 A (HAAS WOLFGANG ET AL) 17 March 1998 (1998-03-17) cited in the application column 1, line 54 - column 4, line 31 example 10 column 11, lines 36-48	1-16
Y	WO 03/042449 A (SCHOLLMAYER ECKHARD ; KNITTEL DIERK (DE); SCHMIDT ANDREAS (DE); BUSCHM) 22 May 2003 (2003-05-22) cited in the application page 3, line 20 - page 10, line 18	13-15
-/--		

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

18 February 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

09/03/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5618 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Gerber, M

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/053332

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	<p>WO 02/22941 A (CIBA SC HOLDING AG ; MAO JIANWEN (DE); OCHS DIETMAR (DE); ELIU VICTOR) 21 March 2002 (2002-03-21) cited in the application page 10, line 6 - page 12, line 12 -----</p>	13-15

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/053332

Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: -

Present claims 1-11 and 16 encompass an extremely large number of possible embodiments. Support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT is to be found, however, for only a very small proportion of the compounds claimed.

In the present case, the claims so lack support, and the application so lacks disclosure, that a meaningful search over the whole of the claimed scope is impossible. Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the claims which are supported by the description, i.e. for which an enabling disclosure is provided, and a reasonable generalisation thereof, namely those parts relating to a cyclodextrin as it is the case in the examples.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/053332

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6475803	B1	05-11-2002	JP	2001131204 A	15-05-2001
US 5728823	A	17-03-1998	DE	4429229 A1	22-02-1996
			DE	59509861 D1	03-01-2002
			EP	0697415 A1	21-02-1996
			JP	2654378 B2	17-09-1997
			JP	8067702 A	12-03-1996
WO 03042449	A	22-05-2003	DE	10155781 A1	22-05-2003
			WO	03042449 A1	22-05-2003
			EP	1448837 A1	25-08-2004
WO 0222941	A	21-03-2002	AU	1388702 A	26-03-2002
			BR	0113841 A	03-06-2003
			CN	1458995 T	26-11-2003
			WO	0222941 A1	21-03-2002
			EP	1319102 A1	18-06-2003